UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/698,823 | 10/31/2003 | Peter G. Hwang | 200206327-1 | 1286 |
| | 7590 12/05/200 CKARD COMPANY | EXAMINER | | |
| P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400 | | | LIANG, LEONARD S | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | 2853 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 12/05/2008 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM mkraft@hp.com ipa.mail@hp.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/698,823 | HWANG ET AL. | |
| | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | LEONARD S. LIANG | 2853 | |
|--|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appea | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 18 November 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Cl periods: | eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance | , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | date of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) | visory Action, or (2) the date set forth iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | date of the final rejection | on. |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the state forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later to may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compli | ance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t | iled within two month | s of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wit | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | |
| 3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | sideration and/or search (see NOT | | cause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or | • | lucing or simplifying t | ne issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a co | orresponding number of finally reje | cted claims. | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11 | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | , |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). | owable if submitted in a separate, t | imely filed amendmer | nt canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>22-25</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>31 and 38</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14, 19-21, 30, 32-37, 39-44</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fail | s to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (§13. ☐ Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| /L. S. L./ | /Manish S. Shah/ | | |
| Examiner, Art Unit 2853 | Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 2853 | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to the claims require further consideration and a new search.